RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 3 2007

# RICHARD A. WILHELM, LLC ATTORNEY AT LAW

18827A Willamette Drive, West Linn, OR 97068

Mailing Address: PO Box 171 Marylhurst, OR 97036-0171 Voice: (503) 635-1187 Fax: (503) 635-0994 wilhelmr1@qwest.net

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Anh Hong Do

Company:

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FAX:

(571) 273-8300

FROM:

Richard A. Wilhelm

Your Reference:

10/625,753

Our Reference:

VP065

DATE:

August 13, 2007

Total pages including this page: 3

If you do not receive all pages or if you have any question, please call Richard Wilhelm.

### Dear Examiner Do:

I would appreciate an opportunity to briefly discuss with you the recent Office Action in the above matter. I don't fully understand the rejection. An interview request form follows.

Richard A. Wilhelm

DII l. Will-

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile transmission may contain information that is confidential or protected by attorney-client privilege. This facsimile transmission is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please do not read, disclose, copy, publish, or distribute this facsimile. If you are not the addressee, please contact us immediately.

Wilhelm

(2) | Personal

Claims/

F12. #s

d>@5

pplicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative 44, 786 Registration Number, if applicable

A. Wilhelm

Not

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 13 2007

713.01

Application No.:

Do

Proposed Date of Interview

Type of Interview Requested:

If yes, provide brief description:

[ ] Continuation Sheet Attached

toplican f

(sec MPEP § 713.01).

Kichard

Tentative Participants:

Rochart

(1) DTelephonic

Issues

(3)

(Rej., Obj., etc)

Examiner:

#### MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE

PTCL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. DMB (961-0)031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form First Named Applicant: Art Unit: 2624 Status of Application: DO 300 Proposed Time: Æ97 (3) [ ] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [ ] YES <del>ነ</del>ፉዖνο Issues To Be Discussed Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Prior Art [] () [] [ ] [ ] [] [ ] [] [ ] [] Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: understand An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview

Examiner/SPE Signature

This collection of Information is required by 57 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO in process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 26 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.13 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complain, including anticring, preparing, and abbuilting the completed application forto in the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual cone. Any comments on the annual of these your require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this formics, about the Sec Chief Information Officer. U.S. Perent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b))

Rev. 5, Aug. 2006

In applicant's response to the Office Action dated 01/09/2007, applicant argued that

"neither Figure 2 or Figure 4 discloses any aspect of storing data."
See page 6, paragraph A.

In the Office Action dated 06/14/2007, the Examiner says "applicant's arguments... are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection." See page 2, paragraph 1. The June 6 Office Action restates the language first presented in the Office Action data 01/09/2007:

"-transforming image data as it is received by selectively storing some of the image data in a memory for access by the display device 24 as shown in Fig. 2 and discarding other of the image data (see Fig. 4: discarding every other pixel 30 in a row).

The new grounds of rejection relate to U.S. Pat. No. 7,202,917 to Katoh, et al. In particular, according to the Office Action, "Katoh teaches the image data is in a predetermined order of pixel components."

Applicant does not understand how his argument is mooted by Katoh. For convenience, the elements of claim 1 are reproduced below.

## Claim 1 recites the steps of:

- (a) receiving image data representing a plurality of pixels defining an image for display on the display device, the image data being transmitted in a data stream and being in a predetermined order of pixel components; and
- (b) transforming the image data as it is received by selectively <u>storing</u> some of the pixel components in a memory for access by the display device and discarding other of the pixel components (emphasis added).